#### **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# Single crystal growth, characterization and high-pressure Raman spectroscopy of impurity-free magnesite (MgCO<sub>3</sub>) HPSTAR 584-2018

Wen Liang $^1$  · Zeming Li $^{1,2}$  · Yuan Yin $^{1,2}$  · Rui Li $^{1,2}$  · Lin Chen $^{1,2}$  · Yu He $^{1,3}$  · Haini Dong $^{1,3}$  · Lidong Dai $^1$  · Heping Li $^1$ 

Received: 9 August 2017 / Accepted: 3 November 2017 / Published online: 11 November 2017 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2017

**Abstract** The understanding of the physical and chemical properties of magnesite (MgCO<sub>3</sub>) under deep-mantle conditions is highly important to capture the essence of deepcarbon storage in Earth's interior. To develop standard rating scales, the impurity-free magnesite single crystal, paying particular attention to the case of avoiding adverse impacts of Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, and Mn<sup>2+</sup> impurities in natural magnesite, is undoubtedly necessary for all research of magnesite, including crystalline structural phase transitions, anisotropic elasticity and conductivity, and equation of state (EoS). Thus, a high-quality single crystal of impurity-free magnesite was grown successfully for the first time using the self-flux method under high pressure-temperature conditions. The size of the magnesite single crystal, observed in a planepolarized microscope, exceeds 200 µm, and the crystal exhibits a rhombohedral structure to cleave along the (101) plane. In addition, its composition of  $Mg_{0.999 \pm 0.001}CO_3$  was quantified through electron probing analysis. The structural property was investigated by means of single crystal X-ray diffraction and the unit cell dimensions obtained in the rhombohedral symmetry of the  $R\bar{3}c$  space group are a = 4.6255 (3) and c = 14.987 (2), and the final R = 0.0243for 718 reflections. High-pressure Raman spectroscopy of the magnesite single crystal was performed up to 27 GPa at

**Keywords** Impurity-free magnesite single crystal growth · Single crystal X-ray diffraction · Raman spectroscopy · High pressure

#### Introduction

Carbonate is one of the most abundant minerals on the earth's surface, and play a key role in the chemistry and dynamics of many geological processes (Oelkers and Cole 2008). Moreover, they may be transferred into the deep-mantle interior by subduction of oceanic lithosphere (Javoy 1997; Brenker et al. 2007; Seto et al. 2008; Litasov and Ohtani 2009). Recently, interest in carbonate minerals in geoscience has grown significantly because the global carbon cycle, in balancing the carbon storage between the earth's surface and deep interior, has been a subject of great concern (Dasgupta and Hirschmann 2010; Hazen et al. 2012). Thus, knowledge of the physical and chemical properties of deep-carbon hosts under deep-mantle conditions is necessary for the understanding of deep-carbon storage and the global carbon cycle (Jana and Walker 1997; Rohrbach and Schmidt 2011). With increasing depth in the earth's interior, especially in the lower mantle, carbon exists in various forms, such as carbonate, carbon-bearing fluid, diamond, and iron carbides (Dasgupta and Hirschmann 2010; Kaminsky and Wirth 2011). Among these carbon-bearing



ambient temperature. All Raman active bands,  $\nu_i$ , without any splitting increased almost linearly with increasing pressure. In combination with the high-pressure Raman results  $\frac{d\nu_i}{dP}$  and the bulk modulus  $K_T$  (103 GPa) reported from magnesite EoS studies, the mode Grüneisen parameters (1.49, 1.40, 0.26, and 0.27) of each vibration (T, L,  $\nu_4$ , and  $\nu_1$ ) were calculated.

Heping Li liheping@vip.gyig.ac.cn


Key Laboratory of High temperature and High pressure Study of the Earth's Interior, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550081, China

University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

Center for High Pressure Science and Technology Advanced Research, Shanghai 201203, China

minerals, magnesite, which remains chemically stable under the high pressure–temperature conditions of the upper and lower mantle, is considered as typical and significant carbon-bearing compound. Thus, it is a possible candidate for stable deep-carbon storage (Katsura and Ito 1990; Isshiki et al. 2004; Litasov et al. 2008; Dasgupta and Hirschmann 2010; Stagno et al. 2011). Meanwhile, there are strong indications that some carbonate inclusions in diamonds from the lower mantle have been observed already; this provides further support that magnesite may be a major host of deepmantle carbon (McCammon et al. 1997; Pal'yanov et al. 1999; Kaminsky 2012). Hence, studying the properties of magnesite is necessary to elucidate deep-carbon storage in the mantle.

The properties of natural magnesite are well known. However, the impurity-free magnesite single crystal deserves further investigation regarding using it as a standard rating scale by avoiding uncertain influence of Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, and Mn<sup>2+</sup> impurities in natural magnesite. This facilitates the building of a clear and ideal model for magnesite research. Owing to the unavailability of impurity-free magnesite single crystal, natural magnesite or synthetic polycrystalline magnesite has to be employed to study its physical properties, P-V-T EoS, chemical reactions, etc. (Markgraf and Reeder 1985; Zhang et al. 1997, 1998; Isshiki et al. 2004). In this case, some exclusive properties of magnesite single crystal without disturbance from impurities remain unclear. For instance, there has been increasing experimental evidence over recent years that magnesite undergoes crystalline structural phase transitions at high temperatures and very high pressures exceeding 100 GPa (Isshiki et al. 2004; Skorodumova et al. 2005; Oganov et al. 2008). At high pressure-temperature conditions up to 115 GPa and approximately 2100 K, very close to the relevant conditions of the lowermost mantle, magnesite could undergo a structural phase transition to a new structure called magnesite II phase (Isshiki et al. 2004), which was indexed as the basis of the aragonite structure from the observed powder X-ray diffraction peaks. This means that magnesite prefers to stabilize as a smaller-volume phase (aragonite structure) under the lowermost mantle conditions. However, owing to the natural polycrystalline magnesite (Mg<sub>0.994</sub>Ca<sub>0.006</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) used in this study, a determinate structure of magnesite II phase has not been obtained thus far. As a comparison, to resolve this problem, high pressure-temperature synchrotron X-ray diffraction aimed at the high-quality and impurity-free magnesite single crystal is required. Furthermore, it is not mentioned whether impurity-free magnesite can be stable under higher pressure-temperature conditions because the uncertain influence of 6% Ca<sup>2+</sup> impurities could destroy the structural stability of magnesite. Additional experimental studies demonstrate that the impurity-free magnesite single crystal is necessary for magnesite basic research. In



Thus far, the only report of single crystal artificial growth of impurity-free magnesite, as well as single crystal XRD, is stirring by the hydrothermal method (Oh et al. 1973a, b). Although a magnesite single crystal (~100  $\mu m$ ) was achieved successfully in this way, there remain some obvious deficiencies. Essentially, the technology and process of single crystal growth is so complex that it could thwart experimental repeatability; thus, single crystal growth of impurity-free magnesite remains a problem that has not been solved effectively. Therefore, it is worth considering the exploration of the new method using the more simple and feasible process for single crystal artificial growth of impurity-free magnesite.

In this paper, we report a simple novel method of magnesite single crystal artificial growth using the self-flux method under high pressure–temperature conditions. The conditions and process of crystal growth are described in detail so as to ensure experimental repeatability. The microcomposition of the single crystal was quantified by electron probing analysis. The result of the infrared spectrum indicates that magnesite single crystals do not contain HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> or OH<sup>-</sup> functional groups. Accurate structural information for magnesite, including lattice parameters, positional and thermal parameters, and bond length and angle, was obtained from single crystal XRD experiments and the corresponding data analysis. High-pressure Raman spectroscopy was performed up to a pressure of 27 GPa and the mode Grüneisen parameter of each vibration was calculated.

### **Experiment method**

The magnesite single crystal was grown by the self-flux method in a sealed environment under high pressure–temperature conditions. The starting material  $MgCO_3\cdot 3H_2O$  (99.9%) was ground in an agate mortar with acetone. A sample pellet was made and sealed into a platinum tube 6 mm in diameter and 3 mm in length. Using h-BN as the pressure medium, the high-pressure experiment was performed on a DS  $6\times600t$  cubic-anvil-type apparatus with 23.5-mm truncation edge length of WC anvils (maximum possible pressure of 4 GPa), and its pressure was calibrated by Bi-phase transition and chloride (LiCl, KCl, and NaCl) melting curves



at high pressure. A graphite heater (inner and outer diameter of 10 and 12 mm, respectively) and a K-type thermocouple were used in these experiments.

A high-pressure trial synthesis from MgCO<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O at 4 GPa at various temperatures was conducted to determine the hydrolysis and decomposition temperature. Subsequently, the principle of magnesite single crystal growth in the *P-T* phase diagram is explained to ensure the most appropriate condition for MgCO<sub>3</sub> single crystal growth. In this case, the high-pressure experiment was performed at 4 GPa and 1100 °C for 2 h. Afterwards, the crystals were cooled slowly to 800 °C at a cooling rate of 1 °C min<sup>-1</sup>; then, it was quenched to room temperature and the pressure was released. Finally, the platinum tube was opened and the single crystals were examined and selected under a microscope.

From observation under the plane-polarized microscope, the average size of single crystals reaches 200  $\mu m$ , with the maximum size exceeding 500  $\mu m$ . The single crystal exhibits light, colorless and a rhombohedral habit with perfect (101) cleavage. The micro-composition of the single crystal was quantified by electron probing analysis, and the sample composition is  $Mg_{0.999\pm0.001}CO_3$ .

To quantify the water content of the magnesite single crystal, the infrared spectrum experiment was carried out. Some single crystals were selected and washed in NH<sub>4</sub>Cl aqueous solution to clean Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> coverage. Then, the crystals were washed in H<sub>2</sub>O for three times and finally dried in an oven at 60 °C for 24 h. A piece of the single crystal (a diameter of ~100  $\mu$ m and a thickness of ~40  $\mu$ m) was cut and prepared for the infrared spectrum. Using NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> as the references of hydroxyl (OH<sup>-</sup>), the infrared spectrum experiment was performed on a Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (VERTEX 70, BRUKER).

Single crystal XRD measurements were conducted at ambient pressure using a four-circle BRUKER diffractometer equipped with a SMART APEX-CDD detector using MoK $\alpha$  radiation in China University of Geosciences, Beijing. A piece of the single crystal (~100  $\mu$ m) was cut and prepared for the single crystal XRD experiment. A total of 718 reflections were collected during the whole process, and the reflection intensities were measured by scanning the narrow frames. The structures were solved by the direct method and refined by full matrix least squares using SHELXTL5.1 software. Meanwhile, the anisotropic thermal parameters were refined using the *x*-coordinate of oxygen.

For Raman spectroscopic experiments, a piece of the single crystal with a diameter of  $\sim 80~\mu m$  and a thickness of  $\sim 30~\mu m$  was cleaved along the (101) rhombohedral plane and used as the starting sample. A rhenium gasket was pre-indented to a thickness of 60  $\mu m$  by a pair of diamond anvils with 400  $\mu m$  flat culets. A hole of 150  $\mu m$  in diameter was drilled in the pre-indented gasket and used as a sample chamber. The starting sample was loaded into the sample

chamber of a DAC with the (101) crystal plane facing the culet, together with two ruby spheres close to the sample as the pressure calibrant. Neon was loaded into the sample chamber as the pressure medium, using a high-pressure gas loader in the Center for High Pressure Science and Technology Advanced Research, Shanghai.

The Raman system used for the experiments is a Renishaw 2000 micro-confocal laser Raman spectrometer equipped with a charge-coupled device detector, a 2400 lines mm<sup>-1</sup> diffraction grating and a holographic notch filter. The spectrum physics model of a 2017 argon ion laser operating at 514.5 nm was used as the excitation source. The laser power was limited to 30 mW to avoid overheating of the sample and the zero-offset was calibrated using a silicon single crystal at 520.0 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Scattered radiation was collected by an Olympus microscope 20× at an ultra-length working distance objective through backscattering. Each Raman spectrum was collected at an exposure time of 10 min to ensure quality data analyses. The pressure and error, thereof, was quantified from the average pressure value given by the ruby sphere of Raman pre-test and post-test.

### **Results and discussion**

### Impurity-free MgCO<sub>3</sub> single crystal growth by the self-flux method

In this particular case of MgCO<sub>3</sub>, single crystal growth using the traditional flux method is expected to be quite difficult owing to difficulty in controlling the experimental conditions to cause melting of MgCO<sub>3</sub>. According to the results of the TG analysis (Liang et al. 2017), the thermal stability of MgCO<sub>3</sub> is relatively poor and its decomposition temperature is rather low (~400 °C). In this case, its theoretical melting point is considerably higher than its decomposition temperature to the extent that reaching its melting point directly while maintaining its stability is unattainable. In conclusion, it is incredibly difficult, or almost impossible, to grow MgCO<sub>3</sub> single crystals through MgCO<sub>3</sub> melts under ambient conditions.

Therefore, the determination of an available flux to reduce the MgCO<sub>3</sub> melting point is the key to MgCO<sub>3</sub> single crystal growth by the flux method. Significantly, based on previous studies, the existence of Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> or liquid water can greatly reduce the melting point of calcite and dolomite (Irving and Wyllie 1975; Dalton and Wood 1993). We suspect that, as a comparative available flux, hydroxyl (OH<sup>-</sup>) or constitutional water could also reduce the melting point of MgCO<sub>3</sub> effectively. With regards to an ideal starting material for MgCO<sub>3</sub> single crystal growth, magnesium carbonate tri-hydrates (MgCO<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O) can be used because it not only provides the required chemical composition of MgCO<sub>3</sub>, but also, in



particular, has the effect of an available (self-) flux attributed to the constitutional water contained. Accordingly, the self-flux method is more favorable for growing single crystals because no additional flux material, such as  $Mg(OH)_2$ , is used so as to avoid separation of  $MgCO_3$  and residual flux material. Compared with the traditional solid flux method at high pressure, the fluid flux (constitutional water) could greatly reduce the residual stress in single crystals to ensure the highest quality crystals possible.


Moreover, the additional attention is highly deserved to suppress the hydrolysis reaction in MgCO<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O substantially because MgCO<sub>3</sub>-series materials are so sensitive to hydrolysis reaction that they always form magnesium carbonate hydrates or basic magnesium carbonate; this occurs despite the fact that numerous magnesite crystals have a stable nature and their chemical stability exhibits almost no significant difference in attribution from other carbonates, such as CaCO<sub>3</sub>, SrCO<sub>3</sub>, and BaCO<sub>3</sub>. In accordance with previous studies, the hydrolysis reaction in MgCO<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O can be effectively and completely suppressed under the high pressure–temperature conditions owing to the high-pressure stability of MgCO<sub>3</sub> (Liang et al. 2017).

Using a high-pressure dehydration reaction, a series of samples were synthesized from MgCO<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O at 4 GPa at various reaction temperature to quantify the MgCO<sub>3</sub> decomposition temperature and MgCO<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O hydrolysis temperature; the powder XRD results are shown in Fig. 1a. At 4 GPa and 800–1100 °C for 1 h, the as-synthesized samples were identified as MgCO<sub>3</sub> without any impurity. By controlling the reaction temperature, the impurity identified as Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> caused by the hydrolysis reaction was observed at 700 °C,


whereas MgCO<sub>3</sub> was decomposed into MgO at 1200 °C. Combined with the results at 1–3 GPa (Liang et al. 2017), an approximate MgCO<sub>3</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O phase stability in the *P*–*T* phase diagram was obtained, as shown in Fig. 1b, in which the decomposition and hydrolysis curves are represented by the red and blue dash lines, respectively. It is known from the *P*–*T* phase diagram that the region of MgCO<sub>3</sub> phase stability lies between the blue and red dash lines. The melting curve is represented by the black dash line, which is reduced below the decomposition temperature on the diagram owing to the use of constitutional water flux. As a result, MgCO<sub>3</sub> can be melted on the premise of its chemical stability; thus, it is reasonable that the most appropriate condition at 4 GPa for MgCO<sub>3</sub> single crystal growth is the range of 800–1100 °C in the presence of constitutional water flux.

### Composition and morphology of the magnesite single crystal

By controlling the pressure, temperature, and cooling rate, magnesite single crystals were achieved successfully using the self-flux method. A whole single crystal ( $\sim 500~\mu m$ ) without any disposal was prepared for a thin section. Unfortunately, it smashed into several pieces ( $\sim 100~\mu m$ ), as shown in Fig. 2a, because MgCO3 material is highly brittle and easily crushed during polishing. Nevertheless, the micro-composition of single crystals was quantified by electron probing analysis. Figure 2b shows the backscattering electronic (BSE) image and the detection position on the MgCO3 single crystal thin section, whereas the results of the analysis of the Mg content is given in Table 1. It is



**Fig. 1** a Powder X-ray diffraction for a series of samples synthesized under the various temperature at 4 GPa for 1 h. The impurity marked by asterisk (\*) is identified as Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>. **b** The *P*–*T* phase diagram of MgCO<sub>3</sub>+H<sub>2</sub>O, in which the decomposition curve is given by the red dash line and the blue dash line is the hydrolysis curve, respectively.



Diagrammatically, the melting curve is marked by the black dash line, which is reduced below the decomposition line due to water flux using, and the shadow refers to the possible region of  $MgCO_3$  melts. The results at 1–3 GPa were given by Liang et al. (2017)






Fig. 2 a The micrograph for magnesite single crystal thin section under plane-polarized microscope. b The backscattered electronic (BSE) image of magnesite single crystal thin section, in which the yellow circles are the detection position of electron probing. The

white parts, marked by green circles, were identified as  $Cr_2O_3$  coming from the remnants of grinding papers, and the water-eroded-like parts, marked by red circles, were identified as  $Mg(OH)_2$  caused by the slight hydrolysis reaction

Table 1 Results of electron probe analysis of magnesite single crystal thin section

| MgO Mass % | Position 1 | Position 2 | Position 3 | Position 4 | Position 5 | Average          | Composition                                 |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------------|---|
| Region 1   | 47.79      | 47.67      | 47.83      | 47.85      | 47.74      | $47.78 \pm 0.07$ | Mg <sub>0.9993±0.0016</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> |
| Region 2   | 47.81      | 47.75      | 47.63      | 47.77      | 47.80      | $47.75 \pm 0.06$ | $Mg_{0.9989\pm0.0012}CO_3$                  |
| Region 3   | 47.74      | 47.73      | 47.82      | 47.77      | 47.79      | $47.77 \pm 0.05$ | $Mg_{0.9992\pm0.0011}CO_3$                  |
| Region 4   | 47.69      | 47.75      | 47.75      | 47.67      | 47.78      | $47.73 \pm 0.05$ | Mg <sub>0.9983±0.0012</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> |
| Region 5   | 47.75      | 47.82      | 47.65      | 47.76      | 47.78      | $47.75 \pm 0.07$ | $Mg_{0.9989\pm0.0014}CO_3$                  |
| Average    | _          | _          | _          | _          | _          | $47.76 \pm 0.06$ | $Mg_{0.9990\pm0.0013}CO_3$                  |

observed from BSE image using MgO as the standard sample that the gray scales of the polished surfaces of single crystal pieces exhibit almost no difference; this implies that the single crystals have the same Mg content. It is worth noting that the abnormal and white parts marked by green circles were identified as Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, derived from the remnants of grinding papers. A few water-eroded-like parts, marked by red circles, were identified as Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> attributed to the slight hydrolysis reaction caused by the high pressure-temperature gradient from the  $\Phi6 \times 3$  mm large sample volume, which was designed to ensure the largest MgCO<sub>3</sub> single crystal possible. The existence of a little Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> does not affect the properties of MgCO<sub>3</sub> single crystals because MgCO<sub>3</sub> and Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> were separated and did not form as a solid solution. Actually, the smaller single crystals, which do not contain Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> such as the nos. 2 or 4 regions, were selected or cut from a larger crystal, or even a little Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> coverage was washed off completely by NH<sub>4</sub>Cl aqueous solution. A total of 25 points in five different areas, marked by yellow circles, were selected for electron probing analysis. Finally, the average mass fraction of MgO obtained is  $47.76 \pm 0.06\%$  and the single crystal composition was calculated as  $Mg_{0.999 \pm 0.001}CO_3$ , which is in agreement with the stoichiometric in the error range.

Figure 3 shows the micrograph from the plane-polarized microscope with (a) reflected light and (b) transmitted light. A piece of single crystal ( $\sim 200~\mu m$ ) was seen as a bright and colorful halo in the reflected light, while it was observed clearly in the transmitted light that it exhibits a rhombohedral structure with a  $120^{\circ}$  edge angle and is cleaved along the (101) plane, which is considered to be cleaving behavior of the natural calcite-type minerals.

#### Infrared spectrum of the magnesite single crystal

In the range of 3000–4000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, shown in Fig. 4, the characteristic peaks of OH<sup>-</sup> are observed from the infrared spectrum of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>, and in comparison, no remarkable peak is observed from the infrared spectrum of magnesite crystal, which indicates that magnesite crystals,






Fig. 3 The micrograph for a piece of magnesite single crystal (~200 µm) under plane-polarized microscope with reflected light (a) and transmitted light (b). Obviously, the single crystal exhibits the rhombohedral structure with 120° edge angle and cleaved along the (101) plane




Fig. 4 The infrared spectrum of magnesite single crystal, together with the results of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and  $Mg(OH)_2$  as the references of  $OH^-$ 

we obtained, do not contain  $HCO_3^-$  or  $OH^-$  functional groups and can be considered as an anhydrous mineral.

### MgCO<sub>3</sub> single crystal XRD and structural characterization

Although the single crystal structure of impurity-free  ${\rm MgCO_3}$  was determined by Oh et al. (1973a, b), there remain some obvious deficiencies resulting from the limitations in experimental conditions or equipment at that time. For example, the cell dimensions with a=4.637 (l) and c=15.023 (3) were obtained using the powder method and the symmetry of the  $R\bar{3}c$  space group was confirmed with a photographic experiment, but certainly not analyzed, in this particular case, by the direct method from single crystal

XRD data. For comparison, the intensities of many sufficient reflections without any splitting or trailing field, shown in Fig. 5a, were collected from single crystal XRD in our work to ensure accurate and reasonable results. Crystal data and structure refinement, including lattice parameters, positional and thermal parameters, and bond length and angle, are summarized in Table 2, together with the results by OH Ki Dong. Figure 5b shows the rhombohedral structure of the magnesite crystal, as well as the coordination relations. The rhombohedral magnesite unit cell consists of  $CO_2^{2-}$  anions and Mg<sup>2+</sup> cations; obviously, the central Mg<sup>2+</sup> cation is six-coordinated with (MgO<sub>6</sub>) octahedron geometry, as well as the bond angle of O-Mg-O. Significantly, the accurate structural data of magnesite crystal provides a standard rating scale in mineralogy and it could form a basis for magnesite research.

### High-pressure Raman spectroscopy and the mode Grüneisen parameters

The Raman spectra of the magnesite single crystal has been measured at ambient pressure, and its spectra are already well known as a calcite-type minerals (Rutt and Nicola 1974; Edwards et al. 2005; Gunasekaran et al. 2006; Rividi et al. 2010; Kaabar et al. 2011). Six Raman active vibrations (T, L,  $\nu_4$ ,  $\nu_1$ ,  $\nu_3$ ,  $2\nu_2$ ) in the wavelength range of  $100-2000 \, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ , shown in Fig. 6a, are dominated by the peaks located at 212, 330, 738, 1093, 1445, and 1762 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which were fitted with a Lorentzian function. The peaks at 212 and 330 cm<sup>-1</sup> are derived mainly from translational lattice mode T and librational lattice mode L, respectively. The peaks at 738 cm<sup>-1</sup> comes from in-plane bending internal mode  $\nu_4$ . The peaks with the strongest feature located at 1093 cm<sup>-1</sup> are caused by symmetric stretching of internal mode  $\nu_1$ . Similarly, the






Fig. 5 a The intensities of many enough reflections without any splitting or trailing field collected from single crystal XRD. b The rhombohedral structure of magnesite crystal as well as the coordination relations between  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $CO_3^{2-}$ 

peaks at 1445 and 1762 cm<sup>-1</sup> are attributable to the antisymmetric stretching mode  $\nu_3$  and out-of-plane bend  $2\nu_2$ , respectively.

The calcite-type carbonate minerals ACO<sub>3</sub> (A–Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn) have the common rhombohedral structure (Fig. 5b) and the feature of this structure is that the separate carbonate ion groups  $CO_3^{2-}$  are bound to the crystal lattice by strong internal C-O bonds and weaker cation-oxygen bonds. From the previous studies, six Raman active vibrations (T, L,  $\nu_{4}$ ,  $\nu_{1}$ ,  $\nu_3$ ,  $2\nu_2$ ) are closely related to carbonate ion (Bischoff et al. 1985; Schauble et al. 2006). Due to very high geometric symmetry with 120° bond angle of carbonate ion, C-O bond length seems to be an effective function to impact Raman frequency, whereas C-O bond length can be controlled by cation size. To take symmetric stretching mode  $\nu_1$  as an example, calcite has much larger cation size than magnesite, but in the opposite sense it has lower Raman frequency (1085 cm<sup>-1</sup> for calcite and 1093 cm<sup>-1</sup> for magensite), and thus much larger C-O bond length is corresponding to lower Raman frequency (Goldsmith and Heard 1961; Zolotoyabko et al. 2010; Floquet et al. 2015; Perrin et al. 2016). Similarly, the pressure also can be a factor changing C-O bond and the effect of the pressure on compressibility of C–O bonds length makes Raman vibrations shift to higher frequency (Boulard et al. 2012). Subsequently, high-pressure Raman spectra of the magnesite single crystal were measured up to 27 GPa with 1–2 GPa pressure steps at room temperature. Four Raman active bands, which were identified as translational lattice mode T, librational lattice mode L, in-plane bending internal mode  $\nu_4$ , and symmetric stretching internal mode  $\nu_1$ , were observed. Two other Raman active modes, the anti-symmetric stretch  $\nu_3$  and out-of-plane bend  $2\nu_2$ , were not observed in this study owing to limitations in the diamond windows. In the pressure range over which the spectra of magnesite were measured, all observed Raman bands increased continuously and almost linearly with increasing pressure and did not undergo any resolvable splitting, as would be a concern just as a phase transition occurred in ferromagnesite at high pressure (Lavina et al. 2009; Lin et al. 2012). The linear relationship between each measured vibrational frequency  $\nu_i$  and the pressure P, given in Fig. 6b, c and Table 3, can be fitted. The value of slope  $d\nu_i/dP$  obtained is slightly difference from the results of the nature magnesite reported previously (Williams et al. 1992; Gillet et al. 1993), which could be caused by the effect of impurities and the difference of pressure medium. In the future, the relationship between C-O bond length and pressure will be systematically studied in EoS of magnesite single crystals, and furthermore, the relationship between C-O bond length and Raman vibrations can also be determined precisely, which can be useful for explaining the micro-mechanism of Raman vibrations in carbonate minerals.

As a very important thermodynamic parameters for describing the high pressure–temperature behavior of minerals, the mode Grüneisen parameter provides a means by which the relative contributions of each vibration to the thermochemical properties are established (Anderson 2000). The parameter links the variation of frequency  $\nu_i$  to the variation in crystal lattice volume V(P,T) and even the effect of changing temperature on the size or dynamics of the lattice; thus, it is a function of pressure P for the isothermal state or volume V for isobaric state (Wagner



Table 2 Crystal data and structure refinement of magnesite single crystal, including space group, lattice parameters, positional and thermal parameters, bond length and bond angle, together with the results by Oh et al. (1973a, b)

| Composition Mg          | CO <sub>2</sub>          |                          |              |            |          |                      |                                |  |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|------------|----------|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Space group $R\bar{3}c$ |                          |                          |              |            |          |                      |                                |  |
| Lattice parameters      |                          |                          |              |            |          |                      |                                |  |
| a                       | b                        | С                        | α            | β          | γ        | R                    |                                |  |
| 4.6255 (3)              | 4.6255 (3)               | 14.987 (2)               | 90           | 90         | 120      | 0.0243               | This work                      |  |
| 4.637 (1)               | 4.637 (1)                | 15.023 (3)               | 90           | 90         | 120      | 0.037                | OH Ki<br>Dong et al.<br>(1973) |  |
| Positional and the      | ermal parameters [Thi    | is work $(R = 0.0243)$ ] |              |            |          |                      |                                |  |
| Parameters              |                          | Mg                       |              | С          |          |                      | О                              |  |
| x                       |                          | 0                        |              | 0          |          |                      | 0.27772 (15)                   |  |
| у                       |                          | 0                        |              | 0          |          |                      | 0                              |  |
| z                       |                          | 0                        |              | 0.25       |          | 0.25                 |                                |  |
| U11                     |                          | 0.0058 (3)               |              | 0.0059 (5) |          | 0.0054(3)            |                                |  |
| U22                     |                          | 0.0058 (3)               |              | 0.0059 (5) |          | 0.0079(3)            |                                |  |
| U33                     |                          | 0.0067 (4)               |              | 0.0        | 0047 (7) |                      | 0.0090 (4)                     |  |
| U23                     |                          | 0.0000                   |              | 0.0        | 0000     |                      | - 0.00115 (18)                 |  |
| U13                     |                          | 0.0000                   |              | 0.0        | 0000     |                      | - 0.0058 (9)                   |  |
| U12                     |                          | 0.00289 (14)             |              | 0.0        | 0030 (2) |                      | 0.00393 (17)                   |  |
| Oh et al. (1973a,       | <b>b</b> ) $(R = 0.037)$ |                          |              |            |          |                      |                                |  |
| X                       |                          | 0                        |              | 0          |          |                      | 0.2767 (2)                     |  |
| y                       |                          | 0                        |              | 0          |          | 0                    |                                |  |
| z                       |                          | 0                        |              | 0.25       |          |                      | 0.25                           |  |
| U11                     |                          | 0.0063 (4)               |              | 0.0072 (8) |          | 0.0059(3)            |                                |  |
| U22                     |                          | _                        |              | _          |          |                      | 0.0091 (4)                     |  |
| U33 0                   |                          | 0.0060 (3)               |              | 0.0052 (6) |          |                      | 0.0083 (3)                     |  |
| U23                     |                          | -                        |              | _          |          |                      | - 0.0027 (9)                   |  |
|                         | This work                |                          |              |            |          | Oh et al. (1973a, b) |                                |  |
| Bond distance (Å        | ) and angle (°)          |                          |              |            |          |                      | _                              |  |
| Mg-O                    |                          |                          | 2.0976 (4)   |            |          |                      | 2.105 (1)                      |  |
| C-O                     |                          |                          | 1.2846 (7)   |            |          |                      | 1.283 (1)                      |  |
| O1-MO2                  |                          |                          | 88.180 (11)  |            |          |                      | 88.25 (2)                      |  |
| O1-Mg-O6                |                          |                          | 91.820 (11)  |            |          |                      | 91.75 (2)                      |  |
| O1-Mg-O5                |                          |                          | 180.000 (13) |            |          |                      | 180.00(2)                      |  |


2000). As a result, the parameter not only presents the differing mass and ionic radius of substituting cations in magnesite, but also reflects the compressing effect of the carbonate ion. For isothermal expression at constant T, the measured vibrational frequency  $\nu_i$  as a function of pressure P represents a mode Grüneisen parameter for the phonon mode, as in the following equation (Born and Huang 1954)

$$\gamma_i = \frac{K_{\rm T}}{v_{i,0}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}v_i}{\mathrm{d}P},$$

where  $\gamma_i$  is the mode Grüneisen parameter,  $K_T$  is the isothermal bulk modulus,  $\nu_{i,0}$  is each vibration frequency at ambient pressure, and  $d\nu_i/dP$  is the slope of each vibration shift with pressure.

The combined high-pressure Raman results  $(\frac{dv_i}{dP})$  and the reported bulk modulus  $K_T = 103$  (1) GPa from the EoS studies of magnesite (Zhang et al. 1997; Isshiki et al. 2004) are used to derive the mode Grüneisen parameter  $(\gamma_i)$ , given in Table 4. The mode Grüneisen parameters for the two external lattice modes (T and L) are 1.49 and 1.40, and accordingly, for the two internal modes ( $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_4$ ) are 0.27 and 0.26, respectively. Conclusively, the lower frequency lattice modes (T and L) of magnesite have mode Grüneisen





**Fig. 6** a Raman spectra of magnesite single crystal at ambient conditions. **b** The linear relationship between the measured lattice modes (T and L) and the pressure P. The error bars of the pressure P are smaller than the pressure P and not given. **c** The linear correlation

between the measured internal modes ( $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_4$ ) and the pressure P. The error bars of the pressure P are smaller than the pressure P and not given

parameters of approximately five times greater than those of the higher frequency internal modes ( $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_4$ ).

### Mineralogical and geochemical implications

### A standard rating scale for magnesite research in mineralogy

Magnesite is a possible candidate for the stable carbon host at lower mantles. Thus, the anisotropic elasticity and conductivity, as well as thermal and structural properties of magnesite, remain worthy of deep investigation. In spite of the large amount of experimental data and reports acquired over the last decades, synthetic polycrystalline magnesite or natural magnesite had to be employed. The major reason is that the single crystal of impurity-free magnesite is unavailable and the single crystal growth is always difficult in materials science. Therefore, it is too difficult to establish and ensure a unified standard in magnesite research. Thus, for a standard rating scale in mineralogy, essentially, impurity-free magnesite single crystals provide ideal models by avoiding the influence of impurities, and form the basis of more reliable experimental data, especially for the studies of the anisotropic elasticity  $C_{ij}$  and conductivity  $\sigma_{ii}$ .



**Table 3** The data of high-pressure Raman spectra, including the value of four observed Raman bands  $(T, L, \nu_4, \nu_1)$  with the corresponding pressure and the error bars of the pressure

| Pressure (GPa)       | $T (\text{cm}^{-1})$ | $L  (\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$ | $\nu_4  ({\rm cm}^{-1})$ | $\nu_1  ({\rm cm}^{-1})$ |
|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 atm                | 212                  | 330                     | 738                      | 1093                     |
| $3.05 (\pm 0.03)$    | 225                  | 346                     | 743                      | 1103                     |
| $4.08 \ (\pm 0.05)$  | 228                  | 351                     | 745                      | 1106                     |
| $5.58 (\pm 0.06)$    | 233                  | 358                     | 746                      | 1111                     |
| $7.36 (\pm 0.04)$    | 239                  | 367                     | 750                      | 1116                     |
| $8.68 (\pm 0.03)$    | 244                  | 373                     | 753                      | 1120                     |
| $9.60 (\pm 0.05)$    | 245                  | 376                     | 753                      | 1121                     |
| $10.24~(\pm 0.02)$   | 247                  | 378                     | 755                      | 1123                     |
| $11.91 (\pm 0.03)$   | 252                  | 386                     | 758                      | 1128                     |
| $13.17 \ (\pm 0.04)$ | 256                  | 392                     | 760                      | 1132                     |
| $14.41 \ (\pm 0.05)$ | 260                  | 397                     | 763                      | 1135                     |
| $15.27 \ (\pm 0.04)$ | 261                  | 400                     | 764                      | 1138                     |
| $16.21\ (\pm0.02)$   | 263                  | 403                     | 766                      | 1140                     |
| $17.31 \ (\pm 0.05)$ | 267                  | 408                     | 768                      | 1143                     |
| $18.15 \ (\pm 0.03)$ | 268                  | 411                     | 770                      | 1146                     |
| $19.45 \ (\pm 0.02)$ | 274                  | 418                     | 772                      | 1150                     |
| $20.69 (\pm 0.07)$   | 278                  | 424                     | 775                      | 1154                     |
| $22.37 (\pm 0.05)$   | 283                  | 431                     | 778                      | 1158                     |
| $23.79 (\pm 0.07)$   | 288                  | 439                     | 780                      | 1161                     |
| $25.68 (\pm 0.08)$   | 294                  | 448                     | 784                      | 1169                     |
| $27.35 (\pm 0.08)$   | 300                  | 455                     | 787                      | 1173                     |

## Possible existence of carbonate melts under high-pressure-temperature in the presence of fluid water

The single crystal of magnesite was grown successfully using water fluid flux to melt MgCO<sub>3</sub> under high

**Table 4** The calculation of Mode Grüneisen parameter ( $\gamma_1$ ) for each Raman band (T, L,  $\nu_4$ ,  $\nu_1$ ), here select the bulk modulus  $K_T$  value of 103 (1) GPa from the studies of magnesite EoS (Zhang et al. 1997),

pressure-temperature conditions. In this regard, a possible explanation for the self-existent carbonate melts in nature is that, at convergent plate boundaries, many sedimentary carbonates in subducted oceanic slabs may survive in the forearc or subarc regions of subduction zones, and then be subducted into the deeper mantle. In the particularly hot subduction zone, thermal relaxation could liberate these sedimentary carbonates in the form of carbonate melts through metamorphic dehydration reactions in the subducting oceanic crust and mantle (Poli and Schmidt 2002; Hacker et al. 2003). Hence, it provides a possibility or evidence that the carbonate melts could be formed under high pressure-temperature conditions in the presence of water fluid, and this result is highly consistent with the experimental conditions of MgCO<sub>3</sub> single crystal growth. Suggestively, the method of water fluid flux at high pressure-temperature could be used for reference or evaluation of single crystal growth of some other important carbonates, such as impurity-free siderite (FeCO<sub>3</sub>) or ferromagnesite  $(Mg_{1-x}Fe_xCO_3)$ .

### Conclusion

A novel process has been devised to grow impurity-free magnesite single crystals successfully, using the self-flux method under high pressure—temperature conditions. Moreover, it could provide a standard rating scale in mineralogy for magnesite research by avoiding the negative influence of impurities. The excellent and exclusive properties of impurity-free magnesite single crystals, including anisotropic measurement, single crystal EoS studies, and magnesite II structural phase transition, should be investigated further.

together with the results of  $\frac{dv_i}{dP}$  from previous studies (Williams et al. 1992; Gillet et al. 1993) for comparison

| Raman mode      | $\nu_{i,0}  ({\rm cm}^{-1})$                            | $\frac{\mathrm{d}v_i}{\mathrm{d}P}$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> /GPa)         | Mode Grüneisen parameter $(\gamma_i)$   |  |
|-----------------|---|---|---|--|
| $\overline{T}$  | 212   | 3.08 (± 0.05)   | 1.49 ± 0.02   |  |
| L               | 330   | $4.49 (\pm 0.05)$   | $1.40 \pm 0.02$   |  |
| $ u_4$          | 738   | $1.83 (\pm 0.02)$   | $0.26 \pm 0.01$   |  |
| $ u_1$          | 1093  | $2.87 (\pm 0.02)$   | $0.27 \pm 0.01$   |  |
|                 | $\frac{dv_i}{dP}$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> /GPa) in this study | $\frac{dv_i}{dP}$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> /GPa) by Williams et al. (1992) | $\frac{\frac{dv_i}{dP} (cm^{-1}/GPa) \text{ by}}{Gillet \text{ et al. (1993)}}$ |  |
| Pressure medium | Ne  | Methanol-ethanol  | KBr   |  |
| T               | $3.08 (\pm 0.05)$                                       | $2.6 (\pm 0.2)$   | $4.4 (\pm 0.4)$   |  |
| L               | $4.49 (\pm 0.05)$                                       | $4.7 (\pm 0.1)$   | $4.5 (\pm 0.3)$   |  |
| $ u_4$          | $1.83 (\pm 0.02)$                                       | $1.5 (\pm 0.2)$   | $1.4 (\pm 0.2)$   |  |
| $ u_1$          | $2.87 (\pm 0.02)$                                       | $2.3 (\pm 0.1)$   | $2.5 (\pm 0.3)$   |  |



Acknowledgements We thank Dongzhou Zhang and another reviewer for their very helpful comments. We acknowledge Jun-fu Lin from University of Texas at Austin for constructive discussion in carbonate minerals, Zengsheng Li from the Testing Center of Shandong Bureau of China Metallurgy and Geology for composition analysis by electron probe, and Guowu Li and Lin Li from China University of Geosciences for experimental assistance in single crystal XRD. We thank Yong Meng and Jiali Cai from Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang, for his valued assistance. This work was financially supported by 135 Program of the Institute of Geochemistry (Y2ZZ041000), CAS, the Strategic Priority Research Program (B) of Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB18000000), the National Key Research and Development Plan (2016YFC0600100), and Large-scale Scientific Apparatus Development Program (YZ200720), CAS.

#### References

- Anderson OL (2000) The Grüneisen ratio for the last 30 years. Geophys J Int 143:279–294
- Bischoff WD, Sharma SK, Mackenzie FT (1985) Carbonate ion disorder in synthetic and biogenic magnesian calcites—a Raman spectral study. Am Miner 70(5–6):581–589
- Born M, Huang K (1954) Dynamical theory of crystal lattices. Oxford University Press, UK
- Boulard E, Menguy N, Auzende A, Benzerara K, Bureau H, Antonangeli D, Corgne A, Morard G, Siebert J, Perrillat JP, Guyot F, Fiquet G (2012) Experimental investigation of the stability of Fe-rich carbonates in the lower mantle. J Geophys Res Solid Earth (1978–2012), 117(B2)
- Brenker FE, Vollmer C, Vincze L, Vekemans B, Szymanski A, Janssens K, Szaloki I, Nasdala L, Joswig W, Kaminsky F (2007) Carbonates from the lower part of transition zone or even the lower mantle. Earth Planet Sci Lett 260:1–9
- Dalton JA, Wood BJ (1993) The compositions of primary carbonate melts and their evolution through Wallrock reaction in the mantle. Earth Planet Sci Lett 119:511–525
- Dasgupta R, Hirschmann MM (2010) The deep carbon cycle and melting in Earth's interior. Earth Planet Sci Lett 298:1–13
- Edwards HGM, Jorge Villar SE, Jehlicka J, Munshi T (2005) FT-Raman spectroscopic study of calcium-rich and magnesium-rich carbonate minerals. Spectrochim Acta Part A 61:2273–2280
- Fiquet G, Guyot F, Kunz M, Matas J, Andrault D, Hanfland M (2002) Structural refinements of magnesite at very high pressure. Am Miner 87:1261–1265
- Floquet N, Vielzeuf D, Ferry D, Ricolleau A, Heresanu V, Perrin J, Laporte D, Fitch AN (2015) Thermally induced modifications and phase transformations of red coral Mg-calcite skeletons from infrared spectroscopy and high resolution synchrotron powder diffraction analyses. Cryst Growth Des 15(8):3690–3706
- Gillet P, Biellmann C, Reynard B, McMillan P (1993) Raman spectroscopic studies of carbonates Part I: high-pressure and high-temperature behaviour of calcite, magnesite, dolomite and aragonite. Phys Chem Miner 20:1–18
- Goldsmith JR, Heard HC (1961) Subsolidus phase relations in the system. J Geol 69:45–74
- Gunasekaran S, Anbalagan G, Pandi S (2006) Raman and infrared spectra of carbonates of calcite structure. J Raman Spectrosc 37:892–899
- Hacker BR, Peacock SM, Abers GA, Holloway SD (2003) Subduction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? J Geophys Res 108:B1

- Hazen RM, Hemley RJ, Mangum AJ (2012) Carbon in Earth's interior: storage, cycling, and life. Eos Trans Am Geophys Union 93(2):17–18
- Irving AJ, Wyllie PJ (1975) Subsolidus and melting relationships for calcite, magnesite and the join CaCO<sub>3</sub>-MgCO<sub>3</sub> to 36 kb. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 39:35-53
- Isshiki M, Irifune T, Hirose K, Ono S, Ohishi Y, Watanuki T, Nishibori E, Takata M, Sakata M (2004) Stability of magnesite and its high-pressure form in the lowermost mantle. Nature 427:60-63
- Jana D, Walker D (1997) The impact of carbon on element distribution during core formation. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 61(13):2759–2763
- Javoy M (1997) The major volatile elements of the earth: their origin, behaviour, and fate. Geophys Res Lett 24:177–180
- Kaabar W, Botta S, Devonshire R (2011) Raman spectroscopic study of mixed carbonate materials. Spectrochim Acta Part A 78:136–141
- Kaminsky F (2012) Mineralogy of the lower mantle: a review of 'super-deep' mineral inclusions in diamond. Earth Sci Rev 110(1-4):127-147
- Kaminsky FV, Wirth R (2011) Iron carbide inclusions in lower-mantle diamond from Juina, Brazil. Can Mineral 49:555–572
- Katsura T, Ito E (1990) Melting and subsolidus phase-relations in the MgSiO3-MgCO<sub>3</sub> system at high-pressures: Implications to evolution of the Earth's atmosphere. Earth Planet Sci Lett 99:110–117
- Lavina B, Dera P, Downs RT, Prakapenka V, Rivers M, Sutton S, Nicol M (2009) Siderite at lower mantle conditions and the effects of the pressure-induced spin-pairing transition. Geophys Res Lett 36:L23306
- Liang W, Yin Y, Wang L, Chen L, Li H (2017) A new method of preparing anhydrous magnesium carbonate (MgCO<sub>3</sub>) under high pressure and its thermal property. J Alloys Compd 702:346–351
- Lin J-F, Liu J, Jacobs C, Prakapenka VB (2012) Vibrational and elastic properties of ferromagnesite across the electronic spin-pairing transition of iron. Am Mineral 97:583–591
- Litasov KD, Ohtani E (2009) Solidus and phase relations of carbonated peridotite in the system CaO–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–MgO–SiO<sub>2</sub>–Na<sub>2</sub>O–CO<sub>2</sub> to the lower mantle depths. Phys Earth Planet Inter 177:46–58
- Litasov KD, Fe Y, Ohtani E, Kuribayashi T, Funakoshi K (2008) Thermal equation of state of magnesite to 32 GPa and 2073 K. Phys Earth Planet Inter 168:191–203
- Markgraf SA, Reeder RJ (1985) Vibrational spectra of magnesite (MgCO<sub>3</sub>) and calcite-III at high pressures. Am Mineral 70:590-600
- McCammon C, Hutchison MT, Harris JW (1997) Ferric iron content of mineral inclusions in diamonds from Sao Luiz: a view into the lower mantle. Science 278:434–436
- Oelkers EH, Cole DR (2008) Carbon dioxide sequestration a solution to a global problem. Elements 4:305–310
- Oganov AR, Ono S, Ma Y, Glass CW, Garcia A (2008) Novel highpressure structures of MgCO<sub>3</sub>, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub> and their role in Earth's lower mantle. Earth Planet Sci Lett 273:38–47
- Oh KD, Morikawa H, Iwai S, Aoki H (1973a) Hydrothermal growth of magnesite single crystals. Am Mineral 58:339–340
- Oh KD, Morikawa H, Iwai S, Aoki H (1973b) The crystal structure of magnesite. Am Mineral 58:1029–1033
- Pal'yanov YN, Sokol AG, Borzdov YM, Khokhryaov AF, Sobolev NV (1999) Diamond formation from mantle carbonate fluids. Nature 400:417–418
- Perrin J, Vielzeuf D, Laporte D, Ricolleau A, Rossman GR, Floquet N (2016) Raman characterization of synthetic magnesian calcites. Am Mineral 101(11):2525–2538
- Poli S, Schmidt MW (2002) Annual review of earth and planetary sciences. Annu Rev Earth Planet Sci 30:207–235
- Rividi N, van Zuilen M, Philippot P, Menez B, Godard G, Poidatz E (2010) Calibration of carbonate composition using micro-Raman



- analysis: application to planetary surface exploration. Astrobiology 10:293–309
- Rohrbach A, Schmidt MW (2011) Redox freezing and melting in the Earth's deep mantle resulting from carbon–iron redox coupling. Nature 472:209–212
- Rutt HN, Nicola JH (1974) Raman spectra of carbonates of calcite structure. J Phys C Solid State Phys 7:4522–4528
- Schauble EA, Ghosh P, Eiler JM (2006) Preferential formation of C-13–O-18 bonds in carbonate minerals, estimated using first-principles lattice dynamics. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 70(10):2510–2529
- Seto Y, Hamane D, Nagai T, Fujino F (2008) Fate of carbonates within oceanic plates subducted to the lower mantle, and a possible mechanism of diamond formation. Phys Chem Minerals 35:223–229
- Skorodumova NV, Belonoshko AB, Huang L, Ahuja R, Johansson B (2005) Stability of the  ${\rm MgCO_3}$  structures under lower mantle conditions. Am Mineral 90:1008–1011
- Stagno V, Tange Y, Miyajima N, McCammon CA, Irifune T, Frost DJ (2011) The stability of magnesite in the transition zone and the

- lower mantle as function of oxygen fugacity. Geophys Res Lett 38(19):570–583
- Wagner JM (2000) On the inadequacy of linear pressure dependence of vibrational frequency. Solid State Commun 116:355–356
- Williams Q, Collerson B, Knittle E (1992) Vibrational spectra of magnesite (MgCor) and calcite-III at high pressures. Am Mineral 77:1158–1165
- Zhang J, Martinez I, Guyot F, Gillet P, Saxena SK (1997) X-ray diffraction study of magnesite at high pressure and high temperature. Phys Chem Minerals 24:122–130
- Zhang J, Martinez I, Guyot F, Reeder R (1998) Effects of Mg–Fe<sup>2+</sup> substitution in calcite-structure carbonates: thermoelastic properties. Am Mineral 83:280–287
- Zolotoyabko E, Caspi EN, Fieramosca JS, Von Dreele RB, Marin F, Mor G, Addadi L, Weiner S, Politi Y (2010) Differences between bond lengths in biogenic and geological calcite. Cryst Growth Des 10(3):1207–1214

