Structural and Transport Properties of the Weyl Semimetal NbAs at High Pressure *

ZHANG Jun(张骏)^{1†}, LIU Feng-Liang(刘峰良)^{1,2†}, DONG Jin-Kui(董金奎)^{1**}, XU Yang(徐杨)¹, LI Na-Na(李娜娜)², YANG Wen-Ge(杨文革)^{2**}, LI Shi-Yan(李世燕)^{1,3**}

¹State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics, Department of Physics, and Laboratory of Advanced Materials, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433

²Center for High Pressure Science and Technology Advanced Research, Shanghai 201203

³Collaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Microstructures, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433

(Received 4 June 2015)

We perform a series of high-pressure synchrotron x-ray diffraction (XRD) and resistance measurements on the Weyl semimetal NbAs. The crystal structure remains stable up to 26 GPa according to the powder XRD data. The resistance of NbAs single crystal increases monotonically with pressure at low temperature. Up to 20 GPa, no superconducting transition is observed down to 0.3 K. These results show that the Weyl semimetal phase is robust in NbAs, and applying pressure may not be a good way to obtain a topological superconductor from Weyl semimetal NbAs.

PACS: 71.55.Ak, 61.50.Ks, 74.62.Fj

DOI: 10.1088/0256-307X/32/9/097102

Following the intensive studies of topological insulators (TIs),^[1] recently a great deal of interest has been shown in three-dimensional (3D) topological semimetals, [2-11] in which the conduction and valence bands touch at isolated points in the Brillouin zone, and the electrons have relativistic dispersion. Weyl semimetal (WSM) is one type of such materials, and it is characterized by the Weyl nodes, which always exist in pairs with opposite chirality.^[7,8] To obtain WSM, either time reversal or inversion symmetry needs to be broken.^[5,6] Though it is theoretically predicted to occur in strongly spin-orbit coupled systems, the existence of 3D WSMs are very rare in nature.^[2,3] The topologically nontrivial 3D Dirac semimetal (DSM) is proposed to be protected by the proper crystal symmetry when band inversion and time-reversal symmetry are present.^[5,6] Such protected Dirac nodes are fourfold degenerate states including spin degeneracy. In 3D DSMs, the degenerate Dirac point is composed of two overlapping Weyl points with opposite chirality.^[9]

The 3D DSM phase has been experimentally discovered in Na₃Bi and Cd₃As₂,^[12-18] after the theoretical predictions.^[5,6] More recently, a family of noncentrosymmetric 3D WSM, the stoichiometric TaAs, TaP, NbAs and NbP with no inversion center in the crystal structure, was predicted.^[10,11] A series of experiments have been performed on this family to search for the proposed WSM state.^[19-26] As hallmarks of the WSM state, both the Fermi arcs on the (001) surface and Weyl nodes in the bulk have been observed in TaAs and NbAs from ARPES measurements.^[19–22] Extremely large magnetoresistance and ultra-high mobility were reported from transport measurements in TaAs, NbAs and NbP.^[23–26] Furthermore, negative magnetoresistance due to the chiral anomaly of Weyl fermions was also observed in TaAs.^[26]

Starting from the topological semimetals, one may expect to obtain topological superconductors (TSCs) by carrier doping or applying pressure.^[6,27] The TSCs have a full pairing gap in the bulk and gapless surface states consisting of Majorana fermions.^[1] Previously, a few candidates of TSC have been found by doping or pressurizing TIs.^[28-37] For 3D DSM Cd₃As₂, superconductivity has been reported under high pressure, after a structural phase transition.^[38] The realization of pressure-induced superconductivity in these topological materials motivated us to study the highpressure effects on WSMs. To the best of our knowledge, there has been no pressure study on the abovementioned WSMs (TaAs, NbAs and NbP). It will be very interesting to investigate whether pressure can induce superconductivity in them.

In this Letter, we report the high-pressure powder x-ray diffraction and single crystal resistance measurements on the WSM NbAs. It is found that the crystal structure remains unchanged, and only the lattice parameters decrease slightly with the increasing pressure. From the resistance measurements, no supercon-

^{*}Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos 11422429 and 11421404, the National Basic Research Program of China under Grant Nos 2012CB821402 and 2015CB921401, the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation under Grant No 2014M560288, the Program for Professor of Special Appointment (Eastern Scholar) at Shanghai Institutions of Higher Learning, and the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality of China under Grant No 15XD1500200. HPCAT operations are supported by DOE-NNSA under Award No DE-NA0001974 and DOE-BES under Award No DE-FG02-99ER45775, with partial instrumentation funding by NSF. The Advanced Photon Source is a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory under Contract No DE-AC02-06CH11357.

 $[\]label{eq:corresponding} \ensuremath{``exactlerightarresponding author. Email: jkdong@fudan.edu.cn; yangwg@hpstar.ac.cn; shiyan_li@fudan.edu.cn; yangwg@hpstar.ac.cn; yangwg@hpstar.ac.cn; yangwg@hpstar.ac.cn; yangwg@hpstar.ac.cn; yangwg@hpstar.ac.cn; yangwg@hpstar.ac.cn; yangwg@hpstar.ac.c$

[†]They equally contributed to this work.

 $[\]textcircled{O}$ 2015 Chinese Physical Society and IOP Publishing Ltd

ducting transition is observed down to 0.3 K and up to 20 GPa. These results show the robustness of the WSM phase in NbAs, and suggest that pressurizing a WSM may be not a good way to obtain a topological superconductor.



Fig. 1. In-situ powder synchrotron XRD patterns of NbAs under various pressures up to 26 GPa at room temperature, with the x-ray wavelength $\lambda = 0.3100$ Å. (b) Pressure evolution of the (112) peak. The shift towards higher angle indicates the shrink of the lattice under pressure.

The NbAs single crystals were grown using the Sn flux method.^[39] After getting rid of the Sn flux, shinning single crystals with typical dimensions of $100 \times 100 \times 80 \,\mu\text{m}^3$ were obtained. They are very stable in air and water. By using a Mao–Bell type diamond anvil cell (DAC),^[40] the *in situ* powder synchrotron XRD experiments were carried out at the High-Pressure Collaborative Access Team (HPCAT), at the Advanced Photon Source of Argonne National Laboratory. The powder was prepared by grinding several pieces of single crystals. For resistance measurements, the thickness of NbAs single crystals was reduced to $\sim 20 \,\mu\text{m}$ by mechanically polishing. Four Pt electrodes were attached to the sample corners with silver epoxy. Resistance measurement under ambient pressure was performed in a physical property measurement system (PPMS, quantum design). For high-pressure resistance measurements, the sample was loaded into the chamber together with ruby powder for pressure determining. The silicon oil was used as the pressure-transmitting medium. The highpressure resistance measurements were performed in an ³He cryostat, by the van der Pauw method. All the samples used in this work were from the same batch.

We first performed the high-pressure powder XRD measurements to examine the structural stability of NbAs. NbAs crystallizes in a body-centered tetragonal Bravais lattice with the noncentrosymmetric tetragonal space group of $I4_1md$ (#109). There is a single site for both Nb and As atoms in the unit cell and the atomic coordinates of Nb and As are (0,0,0) and (0,0,0.416), respectively.^[41,42] Figure 1(a) displays the XRD patterns of NbAs under various pressures at room temperature. Under low pressure p =

0.47 GPa, all the peaks can be indexed with space group $I4_1md$, and the lattice parameters a = 3.453 Å and c = 11.677 Å are obtained by fitting the XRD data with GSAS software. These values agree well with the previous reports under ambient pressure.^[41,42] With the increasing pressure, one can see that the overall XRD pattern does not change. The major (112) peak only shows a slight shift towards a higher angle, as plotted in Fig. 1(b). This shift indicates the shrink of the lattice under pressure. Under the highest pressure p = 26 GPa we applied in this study, the lattice parameters decreased by 3–4%, to a = 3.366 Å and c = 11.206 Å. These results show that the crystal structure of WSM NbAs is very stable under pressure.



Fig. 2. The resistance of NbAs single crystal under various pressures. (a) The value of R(T) of sample A measured at ambient pressure. (b) The value of R(T) of sample B measured under pressures up to 20 GPa. Inset: the typical configuration of resistance measurement for NbAs single crystal. Four Pt electrodes were attached to the sample corners with silver epoxy.

Figure 2(a) shows the temperature dependence of resistance R(T) for NbAs single crystal (sample A) measured at ambient pressure. The resistance decreases with temperature down to 2 K, with the residual resistance ratio RRR= $R(300 \text{ K})/R(2 \text{ K}) \approx 6$. This metallic behavior is consistent with that of the previously reported polycrystalline sample (RRR ≈ 2).^[42] In Fig. 2(b), the low-temperature resistance of sample B under various pressures up to 20 GPa are plotted. With the increasing pressure, R(T) remains metallic, while its absolute value increases monotonically. At the very low temperature, there is a small resistance upturn under several pressures (p = 1.5, 16.3, and19.9 GPa), the origin of which is unclear. Nevertheless, no superconducting transition is observed down to 0.3 K under pressure up to 20 GPa. The slight change of R(T) and the absence of superconductivity demonstrate that the WSM state in NbAs is very robust under pressure.

Therefore, no dramatic pressure effects are observed on both crystal structure and electronic state of WSM NbAs. This situation is quite different from that of 3D TIs and DSM Cd₃As₂.^[32-38,43] For example, TI Bi₂Se₃ shows a structural phase transition from rhombohedral (R-3m) to monoclinic (C2/m) structure near 10 GPa, and the pressure-induced superconductivity was observed above 13 GPa.^[36] Structural phase transition and superconductivity were also reported in three other TIs (Bi₂Te₃, Sb₂Se₃, and Sb_2Te_3) under pressure.^[32-35,37] For the 3D DSM Cd₃As₂, it undergoes a structural phase transition from tetragonal $(I4_1/acd)$ to monoclinic $(P2_1/c)$ structure near 3 GPa,^[43] and pressure-induced superconductivity was reported above 8 GPa.^[38] All these structural phase transitions occur from a highsymmetry space group to a low-symmetry one. The crystal structure of NbAs does not change under pressure possibly due to the fact that it is already in a low-symmetry space group. In this context, the robustness of the WSM state in NbAs may relate to its stable crystal structure.

In summary, we have investigated the pressure effects on the crystal structure and electronic state of the WSM NbAs. The powder XRD results show that the crystal structure is stable up to 26 GPa. No resistive superconducting transition is observed down to 0.3 K under pressure up to 20 GPa. It is concluded that the Weyl semimetal state is robust in NbAs, and applying pressure may not be a good way to obtain a topological superconductor from Weyl semimetal NbAs.

We thank Dai Xi and Weng Hongming for their valuable comments and suggestions. Part of this work was performed at beamline 16-BM-D, HPCAT, Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory.

References

- [1] Qi X L and Zhang S C 2011 Rev. Mod. Phys. 83 1057
- [2] Wan X G, Turner A M, Vishwanath A and Savrasov S Y 2011 Phys. Rev. B 83 205101
- [3] Xu G, Weng H M, Wang Z J, Dai X and Fang Z 2011 Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 186806
- [4] Young S M, Zaheer S, Teo J C Y, Kane C L, Mele E J and Rappe A M 2012 Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 140405
- [5] Wang Z, Sun Y, Chen X, Franchini C, Xu G et al 2012 *Phys. Rev. B* 85 195320
- [6] Wang Z, Weng H, Wu Q, Dai X and Fang Z 2013 Phys. Rev. B 88 125427
- [7] Hosur P and Qi X L 2013 Comptes Rendus Physique 14 857
- [8] Turner A M and Vishwanath A 2013 arXiv:1301.0330v1 [cond-mat.str-el]
- [9] Vafek O and Vishwanath A 2014 Annu. Rev. Condens. Mat-

ter Phys. $\mathbf{5}$ 83

- [10] Weng H M, Fang C, Fang Z, Bernevig B A and Dai X 2015 Phys. Rev. X 5 011029
- [11] Huang S M, Xu S Y, Belopolski I, Lee C C, Chang G Q et al 2015 Nat. Commun. 6 7373
- [12] Liu Z K, Zhou B, Zhang Y, Wang Z J, Weng H M et al 2014 Science 343 864
- [13] Liu Z K, Jiang J, Zhou B, Wang Z J, Zhang Y et al 2014 Nat. Mater. ${\bf 13}$ 667
- [14] Xu S Y, Liu C, Kushwaha S K, Sankar R, Krizan J W et al 2015 Science 347 294
- [15] Neupane M, Xu S Y, Sankar R, Alidoust N, Bian G et al 2014 Nat. Commun. 5 3786
- [16] Borisenko S, Gibson Q, Evtushinsky D, Zabolotnyy V, Büchner B and Cava R J 2014 Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 027603
- [17] Jeon S, Zhou B B, Gyenis A, Feldman B E, Kimchi I et al 2014 Nat. Mater. 13 851
- [18] He L P, Hong X C, Dong J K, Pan J, Zhang Z et al 2014 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** 246402
- [19] Xu S Y, Belopolski I, Alidoust N, Neupane M, Zhang C L et al 2015 arXiv:1502.03807
- [20] Lv B Q, Weng H M, Fu B B, Wang X P, Miao H et al 2015 Phys. Rev. X 5 031013
- [21] Lv B Q, Xu N, Weng H M, Ma J Z, Richard P et al 2015 arXiv:1503.09188v2[cond-mat.mtrl-sci]
- [22] Xu S Y, Alidoust N, Belopolski I, Zhang C L, Bian G et al 2015 arXiv:1504.01350
- [23] Zhang C, Yuan Z, Xu S, Lin Z, Tong B et al 2015 arXiv:1502.00251v1[cond-mat.mtrl-sci]
- [24] Shekhar C, Nayak A K, Sun Y, Schmidt M, Nicklas M et al 2015 Nat. Phys. 11 645
- [25] Ghimire N J, Luo Y K, Neupane M, Williams D J, Bauer E D and Ronning F 2015 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 27 152201
- [26] Huang X, Zhao L, Long Y, Wang P, Chen D et al 2015 arXiv:1503.01304v1[cond-mat.mtrl-sci]
- [27] Hosur P, Dai X, Fang Z and Qi X L 2014 Phys. Rev. B 90 045130
- [28] Hor Y S, Williams A J, Checkelsky J G, Roushan P, Seo J et al 2010 Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 057001
- [29] Das P, Suzuki Y, Tachiki M and Kadowaki K 2011 Phys. Rev. B 83 220513
- [30] Sasaki S, Kriener M, Segawa K, Yada K, Tanaka Y et al 2011 Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 217001
- [31] Sasaki S, Segawa K and Ando Y 2014 Phys. Rev. B 90 184516
- [32] Zhu J, Zhang J L, Kong P P, Zhang S J, Yu X H et al 2013 Sci. Rep. 3 2016
- [33] Kong P P, Sun F, Xing L Y, Zhu J, Zhang S J et al 2014 Sci. Rep. 4 6679
- [34] Zhang J L, Zhang S J, Weng H M, Zhang W, Yang L X et al 2011 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108 24
- [35] Zhang C, Sun L, Chen Z, Zhou X, Wu Q et al 2011 Phys. Rev. B 83 140504(R)
- [36] Kirshenbaum K, Syers P S, Hope A P, Butch N P, Jeffries J R et al 2013 Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 087001
- [37] Matsubayashi K, Terai T, Zhou J S and Uwatoko Y 2014 Phys. Rev. B 90 125126
- [38] He L P, Jia Y T, Zhang S J, Hong X C, Jin C Q and Li S Y 2015 arXiv:1502.02509v1[cond-mat.supr-con]
- [39] Wu W, Zhang X, Yin Z, Zheng P, Wang N and Luo J 2010 Sci. Chin. Phys. Mech. Astron. 53 7
- [40] Yan X, Tan D, Ren X, Yang W, He D and Mao H 2015 Appl. Phys. Lett. 106 171902
- [41] Boller H and Perthe E 1963 Acta Crystallogr. 16 1095
- [42] Saparov B, Mitchell J E and Sefat A S 2012 Supercond. Sci. Technol. 25 084016
- [43] Zhang S, Wu Q, Schoop L, Ali M N, Shi Y G et al 2015 Phys. Rev. B 91 165133